arXiv:1304.3041v1 [math.DG] 10 Apr 2013

LIE ALGEBRAS OF INFINITESIMAL CR-AUTOMORPHISMS ASSOCIATED TO UNIVERSAL CR-MANIFOLDS

MASOUD SABZEVARI, AMIR HASHEMI, BENYAMIN M.-ALIZADEH,
AND JOËL MERKER

ABSTRACT. We consider the structure of the significant Beloshapka's universal CR-models and derive some useful features of them which enable us to construct an effective and very fast algorithm for computing their Lie algebras of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms. In particular, we discover that the *similarity* and *harmony* between the expressions of the coefficients of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms in various types have a closed connection to the *rigidity* of corresponding CR-models. This actuates us to investigate more the structure of those coefficients in distinct components of the desired algebras and recognize them, appropriately. The classical methods to compute such Lie algebras are based on constructing and solving the associated PDE systems — which are much time consuming for large examples. But, the new method of this paper is based on only plain techniques of linear algebra in which leads to an effective and practical algorithm. Furthermore, our algorithm benefits from a *divide-and-conquer* strategy to break down the computations into some simpler sub-computations. Finally, we employ the powerful method of Tanaka prolongation to realize the maximum (weight) homogeneity of the elements belonged to the desired algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

After 1907 that Henri Poincaré [23] studied the real submanifolds in the specific complex space $\mathbb{C}^2$ according to the associated *model surface* — namely the *Heisenberg sphere* [21] — the general issue of investigating real submanifolds in arbitrary complex spaces according to associated models gained its increasing interest [5, 6, 13, 20, 21]. In this approach, many questions about automorphism groups, classification, invariants and others, concerned the (holomorphic) transformations of real submanifolds in a certain complex space can be reduced to similar problems about the associated models. In particular, classifying Cauchy-Riemann (CR for short) manifolds according to their Lie algebras of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms is still an open problem of great interest, in which there are just a few concrete results concerning it in some special cases (*see* [11, 15]).

In a series of recent papers (for example [5]–[11]), Valerii Beloshapka has studied extensively the subject of model surfaces and has found some considerable results in this respect. Specifically in [5], he introduced and established the

---

Date: 2013-4-11.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 68U05, 32M05, 32V40.

structure of some non-degenerate models associated (uniquely) to real analytic CR-manifolds of arbitrary CR dimensions and codimensions. He also explained how one can construct them. Each model $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+k}$ of certain CR-dimension and codimension n and k — what is called a *universal* CR-manifold of the *type* (n, k) — enjoys some *nice* properties ([5], page 484, Theorem 14) which exhibit the significance of the models. Among these properties, we can mention:

- The model surfaces are the most symmetric non-degenerate ones, namely the dimension of the group of automorphisms associated to a germ of totally non-degenerate surface is not greater than that of its model.
- The Lie algebra of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms associated to a model M — denoted by $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ throughout this paper — parameterizes the family of mappings, taking a corresponding totally non-degenerate germ to another one.
- The (graded) Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields on a non-degenerate model surface is an algebra of polynomial vector fields of bounded degree with the bound depending only on its CR dimension and codimension.
- Two germs are equivalent if and only if their model surfaces are equivalent.

The nice properties of the universal CR-models have been encouraging enough to merit further investigation. In particular, those we listed above may convince oneself to study the associated algebras of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms. For each CR-model M, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ contains the infinitesimal generators of the symmetry (Lie) group of M. According to the first statement of the above already mentioned properties, classifying subalgebras of $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ can enable one to recognize all possible symmetry Lie groups associated to the class of the non-degenerate CR-manifolds, equivalent to the model M (*see* [11]). Specifically, computing these algebras and studying their structures can release some interesting features of the model and also all CR-manifolds corresponding to it. However, to our knowledge there are a few computational literatures in this direction; for example in [21], the authors computed the Lie algebra of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms associated to the of type (1,1) universal CR-model — namely the Heisenberg sphere — and used it to build the Cartan geometry of non-degenerate real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^2$. Furthermore, Beloshapka in [8] computed the algebra associated to the model of the type $(1, 2)$, what subsequently was utilized in [10] for constructing the Cartan geometry of CR-hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^3$. Afterward, Shananina performed the length and complicated computations of the algebras associated to the universal CR-models of the types $(1, n) = (1, 3), \ldots, (1, 8)$ and presented the results in [26], page 386, Theorem 1. Later on, Mamai [18] studied the Lie algebras of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms in the cases of the CR-models of the types $(n, k) = (1, 8), \ldots, (1, 12)$. Although he did not present *explicitly* the structure of those algebras as the result of his computations but his works is an computational confirmation of the Beloshpka's (maximum) conjecture [4] which asserts that if the degree of $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ is more than or equal to 3 then M has rigidity (*see* Section 5 for the definition of degree and also Definition 3.1 for rigidity).

It is worth noting that, traditionally this subject is concerned with expensive computations, and the cost of calculation increases as much as the number of the variables (namely the dimension or codimension of the model) increases. Indeed, solving the PDE systems arising during these computations (*see* Section 2) form the most complicated part of the procedure (*see* [24] for the method in details or [8, 21, 20, 26, 18] for some extensive examples). That may be why, in contrast to the importance of the subject, that the number of the relevant computational works is too few. In any case, it is not at all an exaggerating statement to assert that the universal models form (one of) the most important class of CR-manifolds and studying separately their Lie algebras of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms shall be of great significant.

Very recently, the authors provided in [24] a new and *general* algorithm to compute the desired algebras by means of the effective techniques of differential algebra (*see* Section 2 below for a description of algorithm). It enables one to use conveniently the ability of computer algebra for managing the associated computations of the concerning PDE system. Although this (general) algorithm is able to decrease a lot the complexity of the computations and in particular to utilize systematically the ability of computer algebra, but *because of dealing with the* PDE *systems* — which are complicated in their spirit — the computations are still expensive. Specifically as much as the number of variables increases, then the time and memory spent for this computations raise.

In this paper, we aim to study and consider the intrinsic properties of the universal CR-manifolds to provide an effective algorithm — entirely different and more powerful than that of [24] — to compute the associated Lie algebras of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms. While all the computations performed in [8, 21, 20, 18, 26] and also the algorithm of [24] are based on constructing and solving the appearing PDE systems as the most complicated part of them, however, here we achieve the results by the computations, independent of the PDE systems and using only the simple techniques of linear algebra. This fact extremely decreases the cost of computations and increases the performance of the algorithm.

The procedure of constructing the universal CR-manifolds relies on the numbers which are assigned to the relevant complex variables (*cf.* [5]). In this case, each number associated to a variable x is called the *weight* of x and is denoted by $[\mathsf{x}]$. The following theorem is the cornerstone of the algorithm, prepared in this paper (*see* Theorem 3.1). We achieve this conclusion after comparing the expressions of the infinitesimal CR-automorphisms associated to the CR-models of the types $(1,2),\ldots,(1,8)$, having the rigidity and the CR-model of the type (1,1) which does not have this property.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a universal model of the type (n,k) defined in coordinates $\mathbb{C}\{z,w\}$ with $(z,w)=(z_1,\ldots,z_n,w_1,\ldots,w_k)$, let $\mathfrak{g}:=\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ be of the graded form*

$$\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{-\rho}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathfrak{g}_{-1}\oplus\mathfrak{g}_0\oplus\mathfrak{g}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathfrak{g}_\varrho \tag{1}$$

and let the (homogeneous) holomorphic vector field

$$\mathsf{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z^i(z,w)\,\partial_{z_i} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} W^l(z,w)\,\partial_{w_l}$$

belongs to $\mathfrak{g}$*. Then,*

- (i) X *belongs to the t-th homogeneous component* $\mathfrak{g}_t$ *of* $\mathfrak{g}$*, for* $t = -\rho, \dots, \varrho$*, if and only if each coefficient* $Z^i(z,w)$ *(respectively* $W^l(z,w)$*) is homogeneous of the precise weight* $[z_i] + t$ *(respectively of the precise weight* $[w_l] + t$*). In particular, each* $Z^i(z,w)$ *(respectively* $W^l(z,w)$*) is independent of the variables of weights* $\gneqq [z_i] + t$ *(respectively of weights* $\gneqq [w_l] + t$*).*
- (ii) X *belongs to the vector space*

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(t)} := \mathfrak{g}_{-\rho} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_t, \qquad {\scriptstyle t=-\rho,\dots,\varrho}$$

  if and only if each coefficient $Z^i(z,w)$ *(respectively* $W^l(z,w)$*) is homogeneous of the weight at last* $[z_i] + t$ *(respectively of the weights at last* $[w_l] + t$*). Specifically, each function* $Z^i(z,w)$ *(respectively,* $W^l(z,w)$*) is independent of the variables of weights* $\gneqq [z_i]+t$*) (respectively of weights* $\gneqq [w_l] + t$*)*
- (iii) *In particular, the Levi-Tanaka algebra* $\mathfrak{g}_- = \mathfrak{g}^{(-1)}$ *of the CR-manifold* M *is generated by the elements* X *of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *with the coefficients* $Z^i(z,w)$ *(respectively* $W^l(z,w)$*) independent of the variables of the weights* $\gneqq [z_i] - 1$ *(respectively of the weights* $\gneqq [w_l] - 1$*).*

This result will provide us with the ways of:

- employing some simple techniques of linear algebra for computing the sought algebras of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms without relying on solving the PDE systems.
- using a *divide-and-conquer* method to break down the computation of the sought (graded) algebra into some simpler sub-computations of its (homogeneous) components (*cf.* (1)).

The only "not-yet-fixed" part of this approach is to recognize how much we must continue computing the homogeneous components of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$. More precisely, besides some results of Beloshapka and his students in some specific cases [4], we do not know in general what the *maximum homogeneity* ϱ in the above gradation (1) of $\mathfrak{g}$ is. To answer this question, we consider the concept of *Tanaka prolongation* of the Levi-Tanaka subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_- := \mathfrak{g}_{-\rho} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, a powerful method initiated by the brilliant Japanese mathematician, Noboru Tanaka [27] for the study of geometrical structures associated to differentiable manifolds by using the algebraic tools (*cf.* [27, 29, 21, 10, 14, 20]). It produces the prolonged algebra of $\mathfrak{g}_-$ which contains the sought algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Apart from the fact that at many times the prolonged algebra is in fact equal to $\mathfrak{g}$ (*see* [10, 20, 21]), but at least it provides an effective means to recognize an upper bound for the sought maximum homogeneity ϱ.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents a brief description of our previous algorithm [24] (we refer to it as Sabzevari et al. algorithm) for computing the Lie algebras of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms associated to an arbitrary real analytic generic CR-manifold. We also discuss some difficulties inherent in this algorithm. In Section 3, we consider the striking *similarity* in the coefficients of the holomorphic CR-automorphisms associated to the models of the various types $(n,k) = (1,2),\ldots,(1,8)$ and their marked difference from those in the type $(1,1)$ (compare the results of [8, 26, 20] with those of [21]). We find out that this ties together *rigidity* and non-rigidity of these two sets of the universal models. Thanks to this connection, we conclude the already mentioned Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we employ the results from the previous section to provide the strategy of computing the components of the sought algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. In particular, it specifies the corresponding Levi-Tanaka algebra $\mathfrak{g}_-$. In Section 5, we consider the Tanaka prolongation of the associated Levi-Tanaka algebras and use it to find an upper bound for the maximum homogeneity in the gradation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Finally, Section 6 presents the desired algorithm of computing the Lie algebras of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms associated to the universal CR-manifolds by using the results obtained in the earlier sections.

This computational approach to the concept of the Lie algebras of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms can provide also some appropriate circumstances for whom which aims to consider the problems in CR-geometry such as classifying CR-manifolds according to CR-models or the maximal conjecture of Beloshapka. We shall notice that with a slightly modification, it is possible to employ the algorithm of the current paper to compute the Lie algebra of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms associated to not only the universal CR-manifolds but also *to each generic CR-manifold which admits a graded Lie algebra in the sense of Tanaka*.

2. SABZEVARI ET AL. ALGORITHM

In this section, we recapitulate the basic ingredients of the method introduced in [24] to compute the Lie algebras of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms associated to an arbitrary real analytic generic CR-manifold. But at first, let us start with some terminologies and preliminaries concerning the subject. A CR-submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ of real dimension $2\,m - k$ for $0 \leq k \leq m$ is called *generic* whenever it is of CR-codimension k (*cf.* [12]). A generic submanifold of codimension k may be viewed as an intersection of k real hypersurfaces. To simplify the argument and while it causes no loss of generality, let us assume here that the under consideration CR-manifolds are generic. Considered the CR-submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+k}$ represented in coordinates $\mathbb{C}\{z,w\}$ for $(z,w) = (z_1,\ldots,z_n,w_1,\ldots,w_k)$, as the graph of some k certain complex defining equations

$$w_j + \overline{w}_j = \overline{\Xi}_j(z,\overline{z},w), \qquad {\scriptstyle (j=1,\ldots,k)}. \tag{2}$$

We shall assume here that M is *real analytic*, so that the functions $\Xi_1,\ldots,\Xi_k$ are all expandable in Taylor series converging in a certain neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k$.

2.1. **Infinitesimal CR automorphisms.** According to [1, 3, 8, 21], a *(local) infinitesimal CR-automorphism of* M, when understood extrinsically, is a holomorphic vector field

$$\mathsf{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}Z^i(z,w)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}+\sum_{j=1}^{k}W^j(z,w)\frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} \tag{3}$$

whose real part $\operatorname{Re}X=\frac{1}{2}(\mathsf{X}+\overline{\mathsf{X}})$ is tangent to M, *i.e.* $(\mathsf{X}+\overline{\mathsf{X}})|_M\equiv 0$.

The collection of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms of M forms a Lie algebra which is called the *Lie algebra of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms* of M and is denoted by $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$. It is proved that $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ is *finite dimensional* if and only if M is minimal and finitely non-degenerate [17]. Determining such algebra is the same as knowing the *CR-symmetries* of M, a question which lies at the heart of the (open) problem of classifying all local analytic CR-manifolds up to biholomorphisms. Indeed, the groundbreaking works of Sophus Lie and his followers (Friedrich Engel, Georg Scheffers, Gerhard Kowalewski, Ugo Amaldi and others) showed that the most fundamental question in concern here is to draw up lists of possible Lie algebras $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ which would classify all possible M's according to their CR symmetries.

2.2. **The main idea.** Now, let us explain briefly the main strategy used in [24] for computing the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ associated to an arbitrary real analytic CR-submanifold $M\subset\mathbb{C}^{n+k}$, represented as the graph of the k complex defining equations as (2). For this, we shall proceed to do successively the following steps:

- According to the definition, a holomorphic vector filed

$$\mathsf{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}Z^i(z,w)\,\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}+W^l(z,w)\,\frac{\partial}{\partial w_l}$$

belongs to $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ whenever it enjoys:

$$\begin{aligned}0\equiv(\overline{\mathsf{X}}+\mathsf{X})\big[\overline{w}_j+w_j-\overline{\Xi}_j(\overline{z},z,w)\big]&=\\=\overline{\mathsf{X}}\,\big[\overline{w}_j+w_j-\overline{\Xi}_j(\overline{z},z,w)\big]&+\mathsf{X}\,\big[\overline{w}_j+w_j-\overline{\Xi}_j(\overline{z},z,w)\big]\\=\overline{W}^j(\overline{z},\overline{w})-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\overline{Z}^i(\overline{z},\overline{w})\,\frac{\partial\overline{\Xi}_j}{\partial\overline{z}_i}(\overline{z},z,w)&+\\+W^j(z,w)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}Z^i(z,w)\,\frac{\partial\overline{\Xi}_j}{\partial z_i}(\overline{z},z,w)&-\sum_{l=1}^{k}W^l(z,w)\,\frac{\partial\overline{\Xi}_j}{\partial w_l}(\overline{z},z,w)\\(j=1\cdots k).&\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

For each $j=1,\dots,k$, let us refer to the above equality as the *j-th tangency equation* of M. Now, the Taylor series formulaes

$$Z^i(z,w)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}z^\alpha\,Z^i_\alpha(w)\qquad\text{and}\qquad W^l(z,w)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}z^\alpha\,W^l_\alpha(w), \tag{5}$$

bring the tangency equation into the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \equiv \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} \overline{z}^\alpha\, \overline{W}^j_\alpha\big(-w+\overline{\Xi}\big) - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} \overline{z}^\alpha\, \overline{Z}^k_\alpha\big(-w+\overline{\Xi}\big)\, \frac{\partial\overline{\Xi}_j}{\partial\overline{z}_k}(\overline{z},z,w) + \\
+ \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} z^\beta\, W^j_\beta(w) - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} z^\beta\, Z^k_\beta(w)\, \frac{\partial\overline{\Xi}_j}{\partial z_k}(\overline{z},z,w) - \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} z^\beta\, W^l_\beta(w)\, \frac{\partial\overline{\Xi}_j}{\partial w_l}(\overline{z},z,w) \\
(j=1\cdots k).
\end{aligned}
\tag{6}
$$

- After constructing the above k equations, one replaces the appeared functions $\overline{W}^\bullet_\bullet(-w+\overline{\Xi})$ and $\overline{Z}^\bullet_\bullet(-w+\overline{\Xi})$ according to the following slightly artificial form of Taylor series

  $$
  \begin{aligned}
  \overline{A}\big(-w+\overline{\Xi}\big) &= \overline{A}\big(w+(-2w+\overline{\Xi})\big) \\
  &= \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\partial^{|\gamma|}\overline{A}}{\partial w_\gamma}(w)\,\frac{1}{\gamma!}\,\big(-2w+\overline{\Xi}(\overline{z},z,w)\big)^\gamma,
  \end{aligned}
  $$

  and next substitutes each $-2w_j+\overline{\Xi}_j$ by

  $$
  -2w_j+\overline{\Xi}_j(\overline{z},z,w) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} \overline{z}^\alpha\, z^\beta\, \overline{\Xi}^{\sim}_{j,\alpha,\beta}(w) \qquad (j=1\cdots k),
  $$

  with the understanding that the coefficients of the expansion of $\overline{\Xi}_j$ would be denoted plainly $\overline{\Xi}_{j,\alpha,\beta}(w)$ without the symbol $\sim$.
- Modifying the equations (6) by the two already presented formulaes, we reach k homogenous equations so that their right hand sides are some certain combinations of the (unknown yet) functions $Z^i_\alpha(w)$ and $W^l_\beta(w)$, their derivations and also the variables $w_1,\ldots,w_k$ with the coefficients of the form $z^\mu\overline{z}^\nu$ for $\mu=(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n)$ and $\nu=(\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_n)$ (here we set $z_\mu := z_1^{\mu_1}\ldots z_n^{\mu_n}$). To satisfy these equations, one should extract the coefficients of the various monomials $z^\mu\overline{z}^\nu$ and equate them to zero. Then, we attain a (usually length and complicated) homogeneous linear system of complex partial differential equations with the unknowns $Z^i_\alpha(z,w)$ and $W^l_\alpha(z,w)$ — namely a linear system of differential polynomials of the differential algebra $R := \mathbb{C}(w,\overline{w})[Z^i_\alpha, W^l_\beta]$. The solution of this system yields the desired coefficients $Z^i(z,w)$ and $W^l(z,w)$ in (5).
- To solve this already constructed PDE system, we employ the effective tools of *differential algebra*, equipped with some additional operators such as *bar-reduction*. For more details we refer the reader to [24].

Let us conclude this section by a short discussion of the abilities and advantages of the Sabzevari et al. algorithm and also the difficulties and weaknesses inherent in it. This algorithm manages the computations *systematically* and computes the desired algebras associated to *arbitrary* CR-manifolds $M\subset\mathbb{C}^{n+k}$. Moreover, it employs the powerful techniques of differential algebra and the ability of computer algebra to provide a more effective method than the common approaches (*cf.* [8, 18, 20, 21, 26]). In fact, it handles more appropriately the most complicated part of the computations, namely solving the associated PDE systems. Specifically, adopting this algorithm to the significant class of *rigid* CR-manifolds — those that their defining equations Ξ are independent of the variables w — considerably has eased up the computations in this case. *However*, the main obstacle we encounter is that — because of the complexity that the PDE systems have in their spirit — as much as the number of variables z_i and w_l increases then the cost of the associated computation grows extensively and the implementation of the algorithm reveals more problems concerned the capacity of computer systems.

3. RIGIDITY OF UNIVERSAL CR-MANIFOLDS AND SIMILARITY IN THE EXPRESSIONS OF THE CORRESPONDING SOUGHT FUNCTIONS

3.1. **A comparison between the so far achieved results.** Recall that for a CR-manifold M of the type (n,k), a holomorphic vector field

$$\mathsf{X} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z^i(z,w)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} W^l(z,w)\frac{\partial}{\partial w_l}$$

is a CR-automorphism whenever it satisfies the tangency equation $(\mathsf{X}+\overline{\mathsf{X}})|_M \equiv 0$. In the case of the universal CR-manifolds, all the functions Z^i and W^l are polynomials of finite degree. Shananina computed in [26] the Lie algebras of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms associated to the universal models of the five types $(n,k) = (1,3), \dots, (1,7)$ and presented the expressions of the associated holomorphic coefficients $Z(z,w)$ and $W^l(z,w)$, $l = 1, \dots, k$ in Theorem 1 page 386 of his paper (he used the notations $f(z,w)$ and $g^l(z,w)$, instead). Upon a close scrutiny, one observes a nice *similarity* in the expressions of those computed functions. More precisely, all the expressions of $Z(z,w)$ and $W^l(z,w)$, presented in the various types $k=3$ to $k=7$ are nearly the same. For instance, in the two cases $k=3$ and $k=4$ one obtains the following expressions:

(7)

$$\boxed{k=3}:$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&Z(z,w) = \mathsf{a} + i\,\mathsf{b} + (\mathsf{d} + i\,\mathsf{e})\,z, \qquad W^1(z,w) = \mathsf{c}_1 + 2\,(\mathsf{b} + i\,\mathsf{a})\,z + 2\,\mathsf{d}w_1,\\
&W^2(z,w) = \mathsf{c}_2 + 2\,(\mathsf{b} + i\,\mathsf{a})\,z^2 + 4\,\mathsf{a}w_1 + 3\,\mathsf{d}w_2 - \mathsf{e}w_3,\\
&W^3(z,w) = \mathsf{c}_3 + 2\,(\mathsf{a} - i\,\mathsf{b})\,z^2 + 4\,\mathsf{b}w_1 + \mathsf{e}w_2 + 3\,\mathsf{d}w_3,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{k=4}:$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&Z(z,w) = \mathsf{a} + i\,\mathsf{b} + \mathsf{d}z, \qquad W^1(z,w) = \mathsf{c}_1 + 2\,(\mathsf{b} + i\,\mathsf{a})\,z + 2\,\mathsf{d}w_1,\\
&W^2(z,w) = \mathsf{c}_2 + 2\,(\mathsf{b} + i\,\mathsf{a})\,z^2 + 4\,\mathsf{a}w_1 + 3\,\mathsf{d}w_2,\\
&W^3(z,w) = \mathsf{c}_3 + 2\,(\mathsf{a} - i\,\mathsf{b})\,z^2 + 4\,\mathsf{b}w_1 + 3\,\mathsf{d}w_3,\\
&W^4(z,w) = \mathsf{c}_4 + 2\,(\mathsf{b} + i\,\mathsf{a})\,z^3 + (2\lambda + 3)\,\mathsf{a}w_2 + 2\,(\lambda - 3)\,\mathsf{b}w_3 + 4\,\mathsf{d}w_4,\\
&\qquad\qquad (\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b},\mathsf{c}_i,\mathsf{d},\mathsf{e}\in\mathbb{R}, \ \ \lambda\in\mathbb{C}).
\end{aligned}$$

A plain comparison between the above two sets of the functions Z, W^1, W^2, W^3 in $k=3$ and $k=4$ can demonstrate the mentioned similarity. For example, even if a function is not equal to its correspondence but the degrees of each term in both of them and the variables which are appeared inside are same. This type of similarity and harmony is visible among all the expressions obtained in $k = 3, \ldots, 7$. Moreover, a glance on the Beloshapka's results for the type (1,2) in [8], page 402 (*see* the expressions of f, g and h which are Z, W^1 and W^2 in our notations) indicates such similarity, too. In contrast, the computations of the infinitesimal CR-automorphisms associated to the CR-manifold of the type (1,1), presented in [21], says that the expressions of the two functions $Z(z,w)$ and $W^1(z,w)$ are fairly different. Namely we have

$$Z(z,w_1) = \mathsf{z}_{0,0} + \mathsf{z}_{0,1}\, w_1 + (\frac{1}{2}\, \mathsf{u}_{0,1} + i\, \mathsf{y}_{1,0} + \mathsf{u}_{0,2}\, w_1)\, z + (2\, \mathsf{y}_{0,1} + 2\, i\, \mathsf{x}_{0,1})\, z^2,$$

$$W^1(z,w_1) = \mathsf{u}_{0,0} + \mathsf{u}_{0,1}\, w_1 + \mathsf{u}_{0,2}\, w_1^2 + (2\, i\, \overline{\mathsf{z}}_{0,0} + 2\, i\, \overline{\mathsf{z}}_{0,1}\, w_1)\, z,$$

for some real integers $\mathsf{x}_{\bullet,\bullet}, \mathsf{y}_{\bullet,\bullet}, \mathsf{u}_{\bullet,\bullet}$ and $\mathsf{z}_{\bullet,\bullet}$. In more details, in the above expression of $Z(z, w_1)$ we see the variable w_1 while the functions $Z(z,w)$ in the next types are independent of the variables w_i. Moreover, the degree of the variable z in functions $Z(z,w)$ in all the cases $(1,2), \ldots, (1,7)$ is 1 while here it is of degree 2 (compare the above expression of $Z(z,w_1)$ with (7)).

On the other hand — and *parallel* to the similarity of the obtained holomorphic functions —, we know that all the CR-manifolds of the types $(n,k) = (1,2), \ldots, (1,7)$ have *rigidity* while the type (1,1) does not retain this property [18].

Definition 3.1. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ of an arbitrary CR-manifold is called *graded* in the sense of Tanaka, whenever it can be expressed in the form

$$\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}_{-\rho} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\rho+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\varrho, \qquad \rho, \varrho \in \mathbb{N}$$

with $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{i+j}$. Furthermore, we say that M has *rigidity* if the positive part

$$\mathfrak{g}_+ := \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\varrho$$

of $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ is trivial.

Each universal CR-manifold admits a finitely generated graded algebra of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms (*cf.* [5]).

Remark 3.2. It is proved that (*cf.* [5], page 481, Proposition $4(c)$) the negative part

$$\mathfrak{g}_- := \mathfrak{g}_{-\rho} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\rho+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$$

of $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$, associated to a universal CR-manifold M of the type (n,k) is in fact its *Levi-Tanaka algebra* and has the dimension as same as the real dimension of M, namely $2n + k$.

Now, we *claim* that the similarity in the expressions of the functions $Z^i(z,w)$ and $W^l(z,w)$ associated to the CR-manifolds of the various types $(n,k) = (1,2), \ldots, (1,7)$ has a close relationship to their rigidity property. Before considering this assertion, let us illustrate by the following example that how one can extract the infinitesimal CR-automorphisms X from the obtained expressions of $Z(z,w)$ and $W^l(z,w)$.

Example 3.3. According to [20] (*see* also the case $K = 3$, [26], Theorem 1 for another presentation), an infinitesimal CR-automorphism for the CR-manifold $M \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ of the type (1,3), represented in coordinates $\mathbb{C}\{z, w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ as the graph of the defining functions

$$\begin{cases} w_1 - \overline{w}_1 = 2i\, z\overline{z} \\ w_2 - \overline{w}_2 = 2i\, z\overline{z}(z + \overline{z}) \\ w_3 - \overline{w}_3 = 2\, z\overline{z}(z - \overline{z}) \end{cases}$$

is a holomorphic vector field

$$\mathsf{X} := Z(z,w)\,\partial_z + \sum_{l=1}^{3} W^l(z,w)\,\partial_{w_l},$$

with the desired coefficients (*cf.* (7))

$$\begin{aligned} Z(z,w) &= \mathsf{a} + i\,\mathsf{b} + (\mathsf{d} + i\,\mathsf{e})\,z, \\ W^1(z,w) &= \mathsf{c}_1 + 2\,(\mathsf{b} + i\,\mathsf{a})\,z + 2\,\mathsf{d}\,w_1, \\ W^2(z,w) &= \mathsf{c}_2 + 2\,(\mathsf{b} + i\,\mathsf{a})\,z^2 + 4\,\mathsf{a}\,w_1 + 3\,\mathsf{d}\,w_2 - \mathsf{e}\,w_3, \\ W^3(z,w) &= \mathsf{c}_3 + 2\,(\mathsf{a} - i\,\mathsf{b})\,z^2 + 4\,\mathsf{b}\,w_1 + \mathsf{e}\,w_2 + 3\,\mathsf{d}\,w_3, \end{aligned}$$

for some seven real integers $\mathsf{a}, \mathsf{b}, \mathsf{c}_1, \mathsf{c}_2, \mathsf{c}_3, \mathsf{d}, \mathsf{e}$. Throughout this paper, we call such integers by the *free parameters*. Then, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ is seven dimensional, with the basis elements extracted as the coefficients of the seven free parameters in the above general form of X. Namely, it is generated by the following seven holomorphic vector fields:

$$\begin{aligned} \boxed{\mathsf{a}}: &\quad \mathsf{X}_1 := \partial_z + 2\,i\,z\partial_{w_1} + (2\,i\,z^2 + +4\,w_1)\,\partial_{w_2} + 2\,z^2\,\partial_{w_3}, \\ \boxed{\mathsf{b}}: &\quad \mathsf{X}_2 := i\,\partial_z + 2\,z\,\partial_{w_1} + 2\,z^2\,\partial_{w_2} + (-2\,i\,z^2 + 4\,w_1)\,\partial_{w_3}, \\ \boxed{\mathsf{c}_1}: &\quad \mathsf{X}_3 := \partial_{w_1}, \\ \boxed{\mathsf{c}_2}: &\quad \mathsf{X}_4 := \partial_{w_2}, \\ \boxed{\mathsf{c}_3}: &\quad \mathsf{X}_5 := \partial_{w_3}, \\ \boxed{\mathsf{d}}: &\quad \mathsf{X}_6 := z\,\partial_z + 2\,w_1\,\partial_{w_1} + 3\,w_2\,\partial_{w_2} + 3\,w_3\,\partial_{w_3}, \\ \boxed{\mathsf{e}}: &\quad \mathsf{X}_7 := i\,z\,\partial_z - w_3\,\partial_{w_2} + w_2\,\partial_{w_3}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

3.2. **Gradation of the Lie algebra.** To check the rigidity of a universal CR-manifold M, one needs to have the gradation of the associated Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$. Along the process of constructing the defining functions Ξ of such CR-manifolds, one assigns to each complex variable v an integer denoted by $[v]$ which is called the associated *weight* of v. Deformation of each universal CR-manifold relies on the values of the wights (*cf.* [8, 5, 26, 18]). Accordingly, the weights associated to the constant integers a, conjugation of the complex coordinates and standard vector fields will be defined as follows:

$$[a] := 0, \quad [\overline{z}_i] := [z_i], \quad [\overline{w}_l] := [w_l],$$
$$[\partial_{z_i}] := -[z_i], \quad [\partial_{w_l}] := -[w_l], \qquad {\scriptstyle i=1,\ldots,n, \quad l=1,\ldots,k.}$$

Also for a monomial $F(z,w)$, the weight of each vector field of the form $F(z,w)\partial_x$ for $x = z_i, w_l$ is the sum taken over the weights of all variables of

F with regards to their powers, and the standard vector field ∂_x. For example, we have $[(2\,z + (w_1)^2)\partial_{w_2}] = [z] + 2[w_1] - [w_2]$. A vector field X is called *homogeneous of weight* d whenever each of its terms has the same weight d.

Every basis element of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ associated to a universal CR-manifold of the type (n, k) is homogeneous. Moreover, if

$$\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M) = \mathfrak{g}_{-\rho} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\rho+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\varrho}$$

then each component $\mathfrak{g}_t$ for $t = -\rho, \ldots, \varrho$ is generated by the basis elements of the homogeneous weight t.

Example 3.4. (*continue of Example* 3.3). The weights associated to the complex variables of the universal CR-model of Example 3.3 are (*see* [26])

$$[z] = 1, \quad [w_1] = 2, \quad [w_2] = [w_3] = 3.$$

Then, an inspection of the basis holomorphic vector fields $\mathsf{X}_1, \ldots, \mathsf{X}_7$ obtained in that Example gives the following weight homogeneities for them:

X	X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	X_5	X_6	X_7
Hom	-1	-1	-2	-3	-3	0	0

Therefore, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ can be represented as:

$$\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M) := \mathfrak{g}_{-3} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0,$$

with $\mathfrak{g}_{-3} := \langle \mathsf{X}_4, \mathsf{X}_5 \rangle$, with $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} := \langle \mathsf{X}_3 \rangle$, with $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} := \langle \mathsf{X}_1, \mathsf{X}_2 \rangle$ and with $\mathfrak{g}_0 := \langle \mathsf{X}_6, \mathsf{X}_7 \rangle$. Now, one easily verifies that M is rigid.

3.3. **Rigidity of model.** The following proposition demonstrates the influence of the rigidity of a universal CR-manifold M on the structure of the associated algebra $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ and the holomorphic functions which construct it. It also shows the close connection between the similarity property of the holomorphic vector fields Z^i and W^l of a universal CR-model and its rigidity.

Proposition 3.5. *Let M be a universal CR-manifold of the type (n,k) defined in coordinates $\mathbb{C}\{z, w\}$ with $(z, w) = (z_1, \ldots, z_n, w_1, \ldots, w_k)$. Then, M has rigidity if and only if for any homogeneous infinitesimal CR-automorphism*

$$\mathsf{X} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z^i(z, w)\,\partial_{z_i} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} W^l(z, w)\,\partial_{w_l}$$

of M, each function $Z^i(z, w)$ (respectively $W^l(z, w)$) is homogeneous, with the terms of the weighs at last $[z_i]$ (respectively of the weights at last $[w_l]$). In particular, $Z^i(z, w)$ (respectively $W^l(z, w)$) is independent of the variables of the weights $\gneqq [z_i]$ (respectively of the weights $\gneqq [w_l]$).

Proof. The homogeneity of the functions $Z^i(z, w)$ and $W^l(z, w)$ can be concluded immediately from the homogeneity of X. Namely, if X is of weight homogeneity d, then since the standard fields ∂_{z_i} and ∂_{w_l} have the constant weights $-[z_i]$ and $-[w_l]$, respectively, then each of these functions should has the constant degree $d + [z_i]$ and $d + [w_l]$, respectively, *i.e.* they should be homogeneous. Now, let M be rigid. The dimension of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ is

equal to the number of free parameters involved in the expressions of the functions $Z^i(z,w), i=1,\ldots,n$ and $W^l(z,w), l=1,\ldots,k$ (*see* Example 3.3). Each generator is extracted from one of these free parameters as its coefficient in the general form $\mathsf{X} := \sum_{i=1}^n Z^i(z,w)\,\partial_{z_i} + \sum_{l=1}^k W^l(z,w)\,\partial_{w_l}$. In such expression, coefficients of the standard fields ∂_{z_i} come from the functions $Z^i(z,w)$. Now, rigidity of M is equivalent to having no any (homogeneous) basis element, belonging to $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ of the positive weight homogeneity. Hence, when we have the standard field ∂_{z_i} of the weight $-[z_i]$, then no term of the weight bigger than $[z_i]$ appears in its coefficient. Consequently, $Z^i(z,w)$ — which provides the coefficients of ∂_{z_i} in the basis elements — is independent of the variables of the weights bigger than $[z_i]$. Similar fact holds when one considers the coefficients of the standard fields ∂_{w_l}.
For the converse, if none of the (homogeneous) holomorphic coefficients $Z^i(z,w), i=1,\ldots,n$ admits the terms of the weight degree bigger than $[z_i]$, then the weight degree $[Z^i(z,w)]-[z_i]$ of each term $Z^i(z,w)\partial_{z_i}$ of X is non-positive. Similar fact holds for the terms $W^l(z,w)\partial_{w_l}, l=1,\ldots,k$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ does not contain any (homogeneous) basis element X of the positive weight. In other words, M has rigidity. □

For a graded Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-\rho} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\varrho,$$

let us denote by $\mathfrak{g}^{(t)}$ the graded subspace

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(t)} := \mathfrak{g}_{-\rho} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_t, \qquad {\scriptstyle t=-\rho,\ldots,\varrho}.$$

According to the definition of the graded algebras, one easily convinces oneself that for $t=-\rho,\ldots,0$ each subspace $\mathfrak{g}^{(t)}$ is in fact a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. The idea behind the proof of Proposition 3.5 can lead one to obtain the following more general conclusion.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a universal model of the type (n,k) defined in coordinates $\mathbb{C}\{z,w\}$ with $(z,w)=(z_1,\ldots,z_n,w_1,\ldots,w_k)$, let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ be of the graded form*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-\rho} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\varrho \tag{9}$$

and let the (homogeneous) holomorphic vector field

$$\mathsf{X} = \sum_{i=1}^n Z^i(z,w)\,\partial_{z_i} + \sum_{l=1}^k W^l(z,w)\,\partial_{w_l}$$

belongs to $\mathfrak{g}$. Then,

- (i) X *belongs to $\mathfrak{g}_t$ for $t=-\rho,\ldots,\varrho$, if and only if each coefficient $Z^i(z,w)$ (respectively $W^l(z,w)$) is homogeneous of the precise weight $[z_i]+t$ (respectively $[w_l]+t$). In particular, each $Z^i(z,w)$ (respectively $W^l(z,w)$) is independent of the variables of the weights $\gneqq [z_i]+t$ (respectively of weights $\gneqq [w_l]+t$).*

(ii) X *belongs to* $\mathfrak{g}^{(t)}$ *if and only if each coefficient* $Z^i(z,w)$ *(respectively* $W^l(z,w)$*) is homogeneous of the weight at last* $[z_i]+t$ *(respectively of the weights at last* $[w_l]+t$*). Specifically, each function* $Z^i(z,w)$ *(respectively,* $W^l(z,w)$*) is independent of the variables of weights* $\gneqq [z_i]+t$*) (respectively of weights* $\gneqq [w_l]+t$*)*

(iii) *In particular, the Levi-Tanaka algebra* $\mathfrak{g}_- = \mathfrak{g}^{(-1)}$ *of the CR-manifold* M *is generated by the elements* X *of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *with the coefficients* $Z^i(z,w)$ *(respectively* $W^l(z,w)$*) independent of the variables of the weights* $\gneqq [z_i]-1$ *(respectively of the weights* $\gneqq [w_l]-1$*).*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 3.5. Here, we prove the first item (i). If a holomorphic vector field X belongs to $\mathfrak{g}_t$, then it is homogeneous of the weight t. In the expression of X, each standard field ∂_{z_i} is of the fixed weight $-[z_i]$ and hence having the field $Z^i(z,w)\,\partial_{z_i}$ of the precise homogeneity t, then the coefficient $Z^i(z,w)$ must be of the weigh $[z_i]+t$. Similar conclusion holds for the functions $W^l(z,w)$. The converse of the assertion can be concluded in a very similar way. In particular, since all the variables in $Z^i(z,w)$ have the positive weight then, each function $Z^i(z,w)$ — which must be of the weight $[z_i]+t$ — is independent of the variables of the weights bigger than $[z_i]+t$. □

Remark 3.6. This proposition suggests an appropriate way to compute each subspace $\mathfrak{g}^{(t)}$ (specifically, to compute the Levi-Tanaka algebra $\mathfrak{g}_- = \mathfrak{g}^{(-1)}$ and also $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0$ in the rigid case). Namely, it states that to find each $\mathfrak{g}^{(t)}$ it is not necessary to compute the surrounding algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$ of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms, but it is sufficient to compute just the (homogeneous) coefficients $Z^i(z,w)$ and $W^l(z,w)$ of homogeneities less than $[z_i]+t$ and $[w_l]+t$, respectively. This extremely reduces the size of computation. Similar process can be employed when we aim to compute only the t-th component $\mathfrak{g}_t$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, one can divide the general computation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms into some distinct sub-computations of its components $\mathfrak{g}_t$ for $t=-\rho,\ldots,\varrho$. In the next section, we will use the results to provide an algorithm for computing $\mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$.

4. COMPUTATING THE COMPONENTS $\mathfrak{g}_t$ AND THE LEVI-TANAKA ALGEBRA $\mathfrak{g}_-$

As mentioned in Remark 3.6, to compute the holomorphic coefficients $Z^i(z,w)$ and $W^l(z,w)$ of the vector fields $\mathsf{X}\in\mathfrak{g}^{(t)}$, one can assume these functions independent of the variables with the associated weights bigger than $[z_i]+t$ and $[w_l]+t$, respectively. In this section, we aim to develop this result for constructing a very convenient method of computing each subspace $\mathfrak{g}^{(t)}$ and each component $\mathfrak{g}_t$ associated to a universal CR-manifold. At first, in the special and important case of the Levi-Tanaka subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_- = \mathfrak{g}^{(-1)}$ of a universal CR-manifold, we consider the following result due to Beloshapka (*see* [5], page 481, Proposition 4(b) for more details). Recall that a universal CR-manifold M is called of *degree* ρ if the maximum weight (homogeneous) degree of its defining functions Ξ is ρ.

Proposition 4.1. *For a degree ρ universal CR-manifold M, the associated Levi-Tanaka algebra is of the form*

$$\mathfrak{g}_- = \mathfrak{g}_{-\rho} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$$

generated by the component $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$. More precisely, each component $\mathfrak{g}_{-m}$, for $m = 2, \ldots, \rho$ is a linear combination of brackets of degree m of vector fields in $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$.

This suggests that to compute the Levi-Tanaka $\mathfrak{g}_-$ of a universal CR-manifold M of the type (n, k), it is sufficient to compute first the (-1)-th component $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ and next plainly compute the iterated Lie brackets of the obtained vector fields.

4.1. Computing each component $\mathfrak{g}_t$.

For each element X of the t-th component $\mathfrak{g}_t$, Theorem 3.1 enables one to attain an upper bound for the weight degree of each of its desired (polynomial) coefficients $Z^i(z,w)$ and $W^l(z,w)$. Hence, we can predict the expression of these functions as the elements of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[z,w]$. Then to find these expressions, it is necessary and sufficient to seek their constant coefficients. One can pick the following strategy for computing the t-th component $\mathfrak{g}_t$ of the desired algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ as (9), for a fixed integer $t = -\rho, \ldots, \varrho$. Furthermore, achieving the associated Levi-Tanaka algebra $\mathfrak{g}_-$, one can compute $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ by putting $t = -1$ in this strategy and next applying the subsequent step of **i**terated **b**rackets **(ib)**:

- **(s1)** First, we construct the tangency equations (4) of M corresponding to (in general form) holomorphic vector fields

$$\mathsf{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z^i(z,w)\,\partial_{z_i} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} W^l(z,w)\,\partial_{w_l}$$

  of $\mathfrak{g}_t \subset \mathfrak{g}$.
- **(s2)** Now, to compute the coefficients $Z^i(z,w)$ and $W^l(z,w)$, it is no longer necessary to use the Taylor series (5) and construct and solve the associated PDE system as [24]. Here there is another, entirely different and much simpler way to proceed the computation. Namely as we know, all desired functions $Z^i(z,w)$ and $W^l(z,w)$ are polynomials with bounded degree. Then, according to Theorem 3.1(i), the desired coefficients $Z^i(z,w)$ and $W^l(z,w)$ are *homogeneous polynomials* of the precise weights $[z_i]+t$ and $[w_l]+t$, respectively. Hence, we can assume the following expressions for them:

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} Z^i(z,w) &:= \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n\\ \beta\in\mathbb{N}^k\\ [z^\alpha]+[w^\beta]=[z_i]+t}} \mathsf{c}_{\alpha,\beta}\,.\,z^\alpha\,w^\beta, \\ W^l(z,w) &:= \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n\\ \beta\in\mathbb{N}^k\\ [z^\alpha]+[w^\beta]=[w_l]+t}} \mathsf{d}_{\alpha,\beta}\,.\,z^\alpha\,w^\beta, \qquad (i=1,\ldots,n, \quad l=1,\ldots,k), \end{aligned}} \tag{10}$$

  for some (unknown yet) complex *free parameters* $\mathsf{c}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mathsf{d}_{\alpha,\beta}$. Here, by z^α we mean $z_1^{\alpha_1}\,z_2^{\alpha_2}\ldots z_n^{\alpha_n}$ for $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$. Furthermore, we have:

$$[z^\alpha] = \sum_{r=1}^{n} [z_r^{\alpha_r}] = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_r\, [z_r].$$

Similar notations hold for w^β and $[w^\beta]$.

(s3) Determining the parameters $\mathsf{c}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mathsf{d}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is in fact equivalent to find the explicit expressions of the CR-automorphisms X of $\mathfrak{g}_t$. For this, we should just put the already assumed expressions (10) in the k tangency equations (4) and next solve the extracted (*not* PDE) homogeneous linear system of equations with the unknowns $\mathsf{c}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mathsf{d}_{\alpha,\beta}$, and with the equations obtained as the coefficients of the various powers $z^\alpha w^\beta$ of the variables z and w.

Let us denote by $\mathsf{Sys}^{t,j}(n,k)$ the system of equations, mentioned in the step $(\mathbf{s3})$, associated to a CR-manifold M of the type (n,k) and extracted from the j-th tangency equation for $j = 1, \ldots, k$ along the way of computing the t-th component $\mathfrak{g}_t$ of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$. Furthermore, we denote by $\mathsf{Sys}^t(n,k)$ the general system of equations

$$\mathsf{Sys}^t(n,k) := \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \mathsf{Sys}^{t,j}(n,k).$$

To compute the associated Levi-Tanaka algebra, one can proceed the following step after the above three ones by setting $t = -1$.

(ib) After computing the basis elements of $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, to achieve each component $\mathfrak{g}_{-m}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ one should just compute all the length m iterated brackets like

$$[\mathsf{x}_{i_1}, [\mathsf{x}_{i_2}, [\mathsf{x}_{i_3}, \ldots, [\mathsf{x}_{i_m}]]] \ldots], \qquad {\scriptstyle i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m}$$

of the basis elements $\mathsf{x}_\bullet$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$. According to Proposition 4.1, we have to execute the procedure until we compute the $-\rho$-th component $\mathfrak{g}_{-\rho}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, where ρ is the degree of the under consideration CR-model M.

The dimension of the Levi-Tanaka algebra $\mathfrak{g}_-$ associated to a universal CR-manifold M of the type (n,k) is equal to $\dim(M)$, namely $2n + k$. Moreover, following the method introduced in [1, 21, 20], the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is in fact $2n$-dimensional (*see* for example [21], Section 5). Hence, to achieve $\mathfrak{g}_-$ it is necessary and sufficient to compute iterated Lie brackets of the elements of $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ until obtaining $2n + k$ independent vector fields.

Example 4.2. (*compare with Examples* 3.3 *and* 3.4). Consider the CR-submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ of the type $(1,3)$ as in Example 3.3. Here, we have $[z] = 1, [w_1] = 2$ and $[w_2] = [w_3] = 3$. According to (4), the three fundamental tangency equations are:

$$\begin{aligned}
(11)\qquad 0 &\equiv \big[W^1 - \overline{W}^1 - 2i\overline{z}Z - 2iz\overline{Z}\big]_{w=\overline{w}+\Xi(z,\overline{z},\overline{w})},\\
0 &\equiv \big[W^2 - \overline{W}^2 - 4iz\overline{z}Z - 2i\overline{z}^2Z - 2iz^2\overline{Z} - 4iz\overline{z}\overline{Z}\big]_{w=\overline{w}+\Xi(z,\overline{z},\overline{w})},\\
0 &\equiv \big[W^3 - \overline{W}^3 - 4z\overline{z}Z - 2z^2\overline{Z} + 2\overline{z}^2Z + 4z\overline{z}\overline{Z}\big]_{w=\overline{w}+\Xi(z,\overline{z},\overline{w})}.
\end{aligned}$$

First let us compute the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$. For this aim, we may set the following expressions for the unknown functions $Z(z, w_1, w_2, w_3), W^l(z, w_1, w_2, w_3)$ for $l = 1, 2, 3$ with their homogeneous degrees at their left hand sides (*cf.* (10))

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boxed{1+(-1)}&: \quad Z(z,w) := \mathsf{p},\\
\boxed{2+(-1)}&: \quad W^1(z,w) := \mathsf{q}\, z,\\
\boxed{3+(-1)}&: \quad W^2(z,w) := \mathsf{r}\, w_1 + \mathsf{s}\, z^2,\\
\boxed{3+(-1)}&: \quad W^3(z,w) := \mathsf{t}\, w_1 + \mathsf{u}\, z^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

for some six complex functions $\mathsf{p}, \mathsf{q}, \mathsf{r}, \mathsf{s}, \mathsf{t}, \mathsf{u}$. Putting these expressions into the tangency equations and equating to zero the coefficients of the appeared polynomials of $\mathbb{C}[z, w_1, w_2, w_3]$, we obtain the following three systems of linear homogeneous equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{Sys}^{-1,1}(1,3) &= \Big\{ -2\,i\,\overline{\mathsf{p}} + \mathsf{q} = 0, \quad -2\,i\,\mathsf{p} - \overline{\mathsf{q}} = 0 \Big\},\\
\mathsf{Sys}^{-1,2}(1,3) &= \Big\{ \mathsf{s} - 2\,i\,\overline{\mathsf{p}} = 0, \quad -2\,\mathsf{p} - 2\,\overline{\mathsf{p}} + \mathsf{r} = 0, \quad 2\,\mathsf{p} + 2\,\overline{\mathsf{p}} - \mathsf{r} = 0 \Big\},\\
\mathsf{Sys}^{-1,3}(1,3) &= \Big\{ \mathsf{u} - 2\,\overline{\mathsf{p}} = 0, \quad -2\,i\,\overline{\mathsf{p}} + 2\,i\,\mathsf{p} + \mathsf{t} = 0 \Big\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving the homogeneous linear system $\mathsf{Sys}^{-1}(1,3)$ of all the above equations, we can write

$$
\mathsf{p} := \mathsf{a} + i\,\mathsf{b}, \quad \mathsf{q} = \mathsf{s} := 2(\mathsf{b} + i\,\mathsf{a}), \quad \mathsf{r} := 4\,\mathsf{a}, \quad \mathsf{t} := 4\,\mathsf{b}, \quad \mathsf{u} := 2(\mathsf{a} - i\,\mathsf{b}),
$$

for two real constants a and b which brings the following general expressions for the desired holomorphic coefficients of the elements $\mathsf{X} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ (*compare with* Example 3.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z(z,w) &= \mathsf{a} + i\,\mathsf{b},\\
W^1(z,w) &= 2\,(\mathsf{b} + i\,\mathsf{a})\,z,\\
W^2(z,w) &= 2\,(\mathsf{b} + i\,\mathsf{a})\,z^2 + 4\,\mathsf{a}\,w_1,\\
W^3(z,w) &= 2\,(\mathsf{a} - i\,\mathsf{b})\,z^2 + 4\,\mathsf{b}\,w_1.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thanks to the two free parameters a, b appeared in the above expressions, we will have two infinitesimal CR-automorphisms as the generators of $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{X}_1 &:= \partial_z + 2\,i\,z\partial_{w_1} + (2\,i\,z^2 + +4\,w_1)\,\partial_{w_2} + 2\,z^2\,\partial_{w_3},\\
\mathsf{X}_2 &:= i\,\partial_z + 2\,z\,\partial_{w_1} + 2\,z^2\,\partial_{w_2} + (-2\,i\,z^2 + 4\,w_1)\,\partial_{w_3}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we can follow the step $(\mathbf{ib})$ to seek the elements of the homogeneous components $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}, \mathfrak{g}_{-3}, \ldots$ by computing the iterated Lie brackets of X_1 and X_2. For $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$ we have only one generator

$$
\mathsf{X}_3 := [\mathsf{X}_1, \mathsf{X}_2] = 4\,\partial_{w_1}.
$$

Then, $\mathfrak{g}_{-3}$ includes two basis elements

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{X}_4 &:= [\mathsf{X}_1, \mathsf{X}_3] = -4\,\partial_{w_2},\\
\mathsf{X}_5 &:= [\mathsf{X}_2, \mathsf{X}_3] = -4\,\partial_{w_3}.
\end{aligned}
$$

At present we found $5 = 2 \times 1 + 3$ basis elements for the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_- = \mathfrak{g}_{-3} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$. Then, we can be sure that -3 is the minimum homogeneity in the gradation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Similarly, one achieves the zero-component $\mathfrak{g}_0$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. For this, we may assume the following expressions for the functions $Z(z, w_1, w_2, w_3), W^l(z, w_1, w_2, w_3)$ for $l = 1, 2, 3$ with their homogeneous degrees at their left hand sides

$$\begin{aligned}
\boxed{1+0}:&\quad Z(z,w) := \mathsf{p}_1\, z,\\
\boxed{2+0}:&\quad W^1(z,w) := \mathsf{q}_1\, w_1 + \mathsf{q}_2\, z^2,\\
\boxed{3+0}:&\quad W^2(z,w) := \mathsf{r}_1\, w_2 + \mathsf{r}_2\, w_3 + \mathsf{r}_3\, z^3 + \mathsf{r}_4\, zw_1,\\
\boxed{3+0}:&\quad W^3(z,w) := \mathsf{s}_1\, w_2 + \mathsf{s}_2\, w_3 + \mathsf{s}_3\, z^3 + \mathsf{s}_4\, zw_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, substituting the recent expressions in the tangency equations (11) and equating to zero the coefficients of the appeared polynomials of $\mathbb{C}[z, w_1, w_2, w_3]$, we get the following systems of equations.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathsf{Sys}^{0,1}(1,3) = \Big\{\mathsf{q}_2 = 0, \quad -\mathsf{p}_1 - \overline{\mathsf{p}_1} + \mathsf{q}_1 = 0\Big\},\\
&\mathsf{Sys}^{0,2}(1,3) = \Big\{\mathsf{r}_3 = 0, \quad -\mathsf{p}_1 + \overline{\mathsf{p}_1} + \mathsf{r}_4 - \overline{\mathsf{r}_4} = 0, \quad \overline{\mathsf{r}_4} = 0, \quad \mathsf{r}_1 - \mathsf{p}_1 - 2\,\overline{\mathsf{p}_1} + \overline{\mathsf{r}_4} = 0, \quad \mathsf{r}_2 = 0\Big\},\\
&\mathsf{Sys}^{0,3}(1,3) = \Big\{\mathsf{s}_3 = 0, \quad \mathsf{s}_4 - \overline{\mathsf{s}_4} = 0, \quad \overline{\mathsf{s}_4} = 0, \quad \overline{\mathsf{s}_4} + \mathsf{s}_1 + \frac{i}{2}\,\mathsf{p}_1 - \frac{i}{2}\,\overline{\mathsf{p}_1} = 0, \quad -\overline{\mathsf{s}_4} - \overline{\mathsf{s}_1} - \frac{i}{2}\,\mathsf{p}_1 + \frac{i}{2}\,\overline{\mathsf{p}_1} = 0,\\
&\qquad\qquad -\frac{3}{2}\,\mathsf{p}_1 - \frac{3}{2}\,\overline{\mathsf{p}_1} + \mathsf{s}_2 = 0\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Solving the linear homogeneous system $\mathsf{Sys}^0(1,3)$ of all the above equations, we have the solutions

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathsf{p}_1 = \mathsf{d} + i\mathsf{e}, \quad \mathsf{q}_1 = 2\,\mathsf{d}, \quad \mathsf{r}_1 = \mathsf{s}_2 = 3\,\mathsf{d}, \quad \mathsf{r}_2 = -\mathsf{e}, \quad \mathsf{s}_1 = \mathsf{e},\\
&\mathsf{q}_2 = \mathsf{r}_3 = \mathsf{r}_4 = \mathsf{s}_3 = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the following expressions for the desired functions

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(z,w) &= (\mathsf{d} + i\,\mathsf{e})\, z,\\
W^1(z,w) &= 2\,\mathsf{d}\, w_1,\\
W^2(z,w) &= 3\,\mathsf{d}\, w_2 - \mathsf{e}\, w_3,\\
W^3(z,w) &= \mathsf{e}\, w_2 + 3\,\mathsf{d}\, w_3,
\end{aligned}$$

where d and e are two real constants. Extracting the coefficients of these two integers brings the following two tangent vector fields, belonging to $\mathfrak{g}_0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{X}_6 &:= z\,\partial_z + 2\,w_1\,\partial_{w_1} + 3\,w_2\,\partial_{w_2} + 3\,w_3\,\partial_{w_3},\\
\mathsf{X}_7 &:= i\,z\,\partial_z - w_3\,\partial_{w_2} + w_2\,\partial_{w_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

One simply verifies that the Lie algebra generated by the seven obtained vector fields $\mathsf{X}_1, \ldots, \mathsf{X}_7$ is exactly the same as the algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ obtained in Example 3.3.

Remark 4.3. In comparison to the Sabzevari et al. algorithm [24] for computing the desired algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms, it is evident that the above strategy makes the computation much easier to handle. In particular, against the Sabzevari et al. algorithm, here we construct only a — not PDE — linear homogeneous system and solve it using the simple techniques of linear algebra or Gröbner bases instead of constructing and solving the associated — usually complicated — PDE systems.

Remark 4.4. It is worth noting that for computing each subspace $\mathfrak{g}^{(t)}$, although one can achieve its basis elements by computing the corresponding components $\mathfrak{g}_t, t = -\rho, \ldots, s$, it is also possible to adopt the above strategy **(s1)-(s3)** by modifying the assumed expressions of the functions $Z^i(z,w)$ and $W^l(z,w)$ as follows (*cf.* Proposition 3.1(ii)):

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^i(z,w) &:= \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n\\ \beta\in\mathbb{N}^k\\ [z^\alpha]+[w^\beta]\leqslant[z_i]+t}} \mathsf{c}_{\alpha,\beta}\,.\,z^\alpha\,w^\beta,\\
W^l(z,w) &:= \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n\\ \beta\in\mathbb{N}^k\\ [z^\alpha]+[w^\beta]\leqslant[w_l]+t}} \mathsf{d}_{\alpha,\beta}\,.\,z^\alpha\,w^\beta, \qquad {\scriptstyle (i=1,\ldots,n,\quad l=1,\ldots,k)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{12}
$$

In particular when M is rigid, we can obtain the sought algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by setting $t=0$.

5. TANAKA PROLONGATION

So far, we proposed an effective method for computing the Levi-Tanaka algebra $\mathfrak{g}_-$ of a universal CR-manifold M and also the homogeneous components of the associated algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{aut}_{CR}(M)$. However, one obstacle is still remained; namely *How far must we continue computing the homogeneous components of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *to achieve the whole of it?* In fact, we do not know the *maximum homogeneity* ϱ which appears in the gradation

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-\rho} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\varrho.$$

In a few special cases — that we are aware of them[1] — we have the following results which release the desired maximum homogeneity ϱ (here ρ is the degree of the under consideration universal CR-manifold M in which according to Proposition 4.1, $-\rho$ is equal to the minimum homogeneity in the gradation of $\mathfrak{g}$):

- If $\rho = 2$, then $\varrho \leqslant 2$ (*see* [9], page 32).
- If $\rho = 3$, then $\varrho = 0$ (*see* [16], page 23, Corollary 2).
- If $\rho = 4$, then $\varrho \leqslant 1$ (*see* [7], page 653, Corollary 7).
- If $\rho = 5$, then $\varrho \leqslant k$, where k is the CR-codimension of M (*see* [25], page 714, Proposition 2.2).

Although the above values $\rho = 2, \ldots, 5$ occur in many times but it seems that there is not a general formulae, being able to present the maximum homogeneity according to the extant datums of M. In [4], Beloshpka introduced his (*Maximum*) conjecture as follows:

---

[1] We would like to thank Valerii Beloshpka which informed us about these results and the recently published useful paper [4].

Beloshapka's Maximum conjecture: If $3 \leq \rho < \infty$ then the corresponding universal CR-manifold has the rigidity, *i.e.* $\varrho = 0$.

The correctness of this conjecture is proved so far only for the degree $\rho = 3$ (*see* [16, 18]). Hence, having a general computational method to inspect this conjecture is of interest[2]. Fortunately, we can resolve the problem of finding an upper bound for the maximum homogeneity ϱ by employing the practical algorithm of the so-called *Tanaka prolongation* (*cf.* [27, 29]). One should notice that the importance of this algorithm lay not only in determining an upper bound for the desired maximum homogeneity ϱ, but more significantly, it has an essential role to study the geometrical structure of the differentiable manifolds using the algebraic tools (*see* [27, 29, 1, 10, 20, 21]).

Let $\mathfrak{g}_-$ be the Levi-Tanaka algebra associated to a universal CR-manifold M. It was proved that ([16], page 29, Corollary 3.1) the graded algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ of Tanaka prolongation contains the desired algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Although this algebra is larger than what we aim to compute but at least it furnishes us an upper bound for the value of ϱ. On the other hand, one should notice that in the case of the universal CR-manifolds, the prolonged algebra of $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ is of *finite type*; that is a graded algebra expressible as a direct sum of finitely many its subalgebras (*see* [5], page 481, Proposition 5 or [19], page 203, Theorem 3.1).

5.1. **The prolongation procedure.** Consider a finite-dimensional graded Lie algebra indexed by negative integers of the form

$$\mathfrak{g}_- = \mathfrak{g}_{-\rho} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$$

satisfying $[\mathfrak{g}_{-l_1}, \mathfrak{g}_{-l_2}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-l_1-l_2}$ with the convention that $\mathfrak{g}_k = 0$ for $k \leqslant -\rho - 1$. Following [27], $\mathfrak{g}_-$ will be said to be *of ρ-th kind*. Assume that there is a complex structure $J\colon \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \to \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ such that $J^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$, whence $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ bears a natural structure of a complex vector space. Tanaka's prolongation of $\mathfrak{g}_-$ is an algebraic procedure which generates a certain larger graded Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{t}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2 \oplus \cdots$$

in the following way. Having the contrast in the notations let us assume that $\mathfrak{h}_k := \mathfrak{g}_k$ when $k < 0$ and $\mathfrak{h}_k := \mathfrak{t}_k$, otherwise.

By the definition, the order-zero component $\mathfrak{t}_0$ consists of all linear endomorphisms $\mathsf{d}\colon \mathfrak{g}_- \to \mathfrak{g}_-$ which preserve gradation $\mathsf{d}(\mathfrak{g}_k) \subset \mathfrak{g}_k$ which respect the complex structure: $\mathsf{d}(J\,\mathsf{x}) = J\mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x})$ for all $\mathsf{x} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ and which are *derivations*, namely satisfy $\mathsf{d}([\mathsf{y}, \mathsf{z}]) = [\mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}), \mathsf{y}] + [\mathsf{x}, \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{y})]$ for every $\mathsf{y}, \mathsf{z} \in \mathfrak{g}_-$. Then the bracket between a $\mathsf{d} \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and an $\mathsf{x} \in \mathfrak{g}_-$ is simply defined by $[\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{x}] := \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x})$, while the bracket between *two* elements $\mathsf{d}', \mathsf{d}'' \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ is defined to be the commutator $\mathsf{d}' \circ \mathsf{d}'' - \mathsf{d}'' \circ \mathsf{d}'$ between endomorphisms. One checks at once that Jacobi relations hold, hence $\mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{t}_0$ becomes a true Lie algebra.

By contrast, for any $l \geqslant 1$, no constraint with respect to J is required. Assuming that the components $\mathfrak{t}_{l'}$ are already constructed for any $l' \leqslant l - 1$, then the l-th component $\mathfrak{t}_l$ of the prolongation consists of l-shifted graded linear morphisms $\mathfrak{g}_- \to \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{t}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{l-1}$ that are derivations. Thus we have

---

[2] In [8, 26, 18], Beloshapka, Shananina and Mamai also followed the computational approach for considering the special cases $(n, k) = 1, 2, \ldots, 7, 8, \ldots, 12$

(13)

$$\mathfrak{t}_l = \Big\{ \mathsf{d} \in \bigoplus_{k \leqslant -1} \mathrm{Lin}(\mathfrak{g}_k,\, \mathfrak{h}_{k+l}) \colon \mathsf{d}([\mathsf{y},\, \mathsf{z}]) = [\mathsf{d}(\mathsf{y}),\, \mathsf{z}] + [\mathsf{y},\, \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{z})], \quad \forall\, \mathsf{y},\, \mathsf{z} \in \mathfrak{g}_- \Big\}.$$

Now, for $\mathsf{d} \in \mathfrak{h}_k$ and $\mathsf{e} \in \mathfrak{h}_l$, by induction on the integer $k + l \geqslant 0$, one defines the bracket $[\mathsf{d},\, \mathsf{e}] \in \mathfrak{t}_{k+l} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_-^*$ by

$$[\mathsf{d},\, \mathsf{e}](\mathsf{x}) = \big[[\mathsf{d},\, \mathsf{x}],\, \mathsf{e}\big] + \big[\mathsf{d},\, [\mathsf{e},\, \mathsf{x}]\big] \qquad \text{for } \mathsf{x} \in \mathfrak{g}_-. \tag{14}$$

One notes that, for $k = l = 0$, this definition coincides with the above one for $[\mathfrak{t}_0,\, \mathfrak{t}_0]$. It follows by induction ([27, 29]) that $[\mathsf{d},\, \mathsf{e}] \in \mathfrak{h}_{k+l}$ and that with this bracket, the sum $\mathfrak{g}_- \bigoplus_{k \geqslant 1} \mathfrak{t}_k$ becomes a graded Lie algebra, because the general Jacobi identity

$$0 = \big[[\mathsf{d},\, \mathsf{e}],\, \mathsf{f}\big] + \big[[\mathsf{f},\, \mathsf{d}],\, \mathsf{e}\big] + \big[[\mathsf{e},\, \mathsf{f}],\, \mathsf{d}\big]$$

for $\mathsf{d} \in \mathfrak{h}_k$, $\mathsf{e} \in \mathfrak{h}_l$ and $\mathsf{f} \in \mathfrak{h}_m$ holds by definition when one of $k,\, l,\, m$ is negative, and can be shown by induction on the integer $k + l + m \geqslant 0$ when all of $k,\, l,\, m$ are nonnegative.

5.2. **Strategy of computing the Tanaka prolongation.** Accordingly, one may select the following strategy for computing the Tanaka prolongation algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ from the obtained Levi-Tanaka algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}_- := \mathfrak{g}_{-\rho} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}.$$

Here, we denote by $\mathsf{x}_\bullet^j$ each arbitrary basis element of $\mathfrak{h}_j$, defined as above.

- Determining a complex structure $J : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ with $J^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$.
- To compute $\mathfrak{t}_0$, one seeks the derivations $\mathsf{d} : \mathfrak{g}_- \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_-$ with the predefined values as
$$\mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}_k^j) := \sum_{\mathsf{x}_t^j \in \mathfrak{g}_j} a_{k,t}^j \mathsf{x}_t^j$$
by determining the real coefficients $a_{k,t}^j$. For this aim, one takes the advantage of being derivation and of preserving the complex structure. The collection of such derivations d constructs the zero component $\mathfrak{t}_0$ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ with the commutators $[\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{x}] = \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x})$ for $\mathsf{x} \in \mathfrak{g}_-$.
- Inductively after computing the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_{\ell-1}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, one can compute the algebra $\mathfrak{t}_\ell$ by predefining the functions $\mathsf{d} : \bigoplus_{k<0} \mathfrak{g}_k \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k<0} \mathfrak{h}_{k+\ell}$ of the form (here the sum is taken over the basis elements of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{k+\ell}$):
$$\mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}_j^k) := \sum_{\mathsf{x}_t^{k+\ell} \in \mathfrak{h}_{k+\ell}} a_{j,t}^{k+\ell}\, \mathsf{x}_t^{k+\ell} \qquad {\scriptstyle (k=-\rho,\ldots,-1)}$$
and finding the values of the constant coefficients $a_\bullet^\bullet$ according to the following property of d:

$$[\mathsf{d}(\mathsf{y}),\, \mathsf{z}] + [\mathsf{y},\, \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{z})], \qquad \forall\, \mathsf{y},\, \mathsf{z} \in \mathfrak{g}_-. \tag{15}$$

Then $\mathfrak{t}_\ell$ will be the set of all obtained linear transformations d with the commutators defined as (14).

- We terminate this process as soon as the latest computed component $\mathfrak{t}_\ell, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ is a trivial algebra.

Example 5.1. (*continue of Example* 4.2). Now let us find the Tanaka prolongation $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_- = \mathfrak{g}_{-3} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, obtained in Example 4.2 with $\mathfrak{g}_{-3} = \langle \mathsf{X}_4, \mathsf{X}_5 \rangle$, with $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} = \langle \mathsf{X}_3 \rangle$, with $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \langle \mathsf{X}_1, \mathsf{X}_2 \rangle$ and with the table of commutators:

	X_5	X_4	X_3	X_2	X_1
X_5	0	0	0	0	0
X_4	$*$	0	0	0	0
X_3	$*$	$*$	0	$4\mathsf{X}_5$	$4\mathsf{X}_4$
X_2	$*$	$*$	$*$	0	$-4\mathsf{X}_3$
X_1	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	0

We select the complex structure $J : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ with $J(\mathsf{X}_1) = \mathsf{X}_2$. According to the definition, the zero-order component $\mathfrak{t}_0$ of this Lie algebra is the subalgebra containing all derivations $\mathsf{d} = (\mathsf{d}_1, \mathsf{d}_2, \mathsf{d}_3)$ with $\mathsf{d}_i \in \mathsf{End}(\mathfrak{g}_{-i}, \mathfrak{g}_{-i})$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Assume that the values of the components of d on the basis elements are as follows:

$$\mathsf{d}_1(\mathsf{X}_1) = r_1\mathsf{X}_1 + r_2\mathsf{X}_2, \quad \mathsf{d}_1(\mathsf{X}_2) = r_3\mathsf{X}_1 + r_4\mathsf{X}_2, \quad \mathsf{d}_2(\mathsf{X}_3) = k\mathsf{X}_3$$
$$\mathsf{d}_3(\mathsf{X}_4) = m_1\mathsf{X}_4 + m_2\mathsf{X}_5, \quad \mathsf{d}_3(\mathsf{X}_5) = m_3\mathsf{X}_4 + m_4\mathsf{X}_5,$$

for some nine real unknown constants. Preserving the complex structure J by d implies that $r_1 = r_4$ and $r_3 = -r_2$ (notice that $J(\mathsf{X}_1) = \mathsf{X}_2$). Furthermore, since d is a derivation we can obtain some other relations within the coefficients r_i, k, m_i for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$. At first, this property gives the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{d}([\mathsf{X}_1, \mathsf{X}_2]) &= [\mathsf{d}(\mathsf{X}_1), \mathsf{X}_2] - [\mathsf{d}(\mathsf{X}_2), \mathsf{X}_1] \\ &= [r_1\mathsf{X}_1 + r_2\mathsf{X}_2, \mathsf{X}_2] - [r_3\mathsf{X}_1 + r_4\mathsf{I}_2, \mathsf{X}_1], \end{aligned}$$

which can be read as $k\mathsf{X}_3 = r_1\mathsf{X}_3 + r_4\mathsf{X}_3$ or equivalently $k = r_1 + r_4$. Applying the same to the value $\mathsf{d}([\mathsf{X}_1, \mathsf{X}_3])$, we get $m_3 = r_3$ and $m_4 = r_4 + k$. Other values of d do not have new result and can be disregarded. It follows from these relations that $\mathfrak{t}_0$ is two-dimensional and is generated by two derivations

$$\mathsf{X}_6: \quad \mathsf{X}_1 \mapsto \mathsf{X}_1, \quad \mathsf{X}_2 \mapsto \mathsf{X}_2, \quad \mathsf{X}_3 \mapsto 2\mathsf{X}_3, \quad \mathsf{X}_4 \mapsto 3\mathsf{X}_4, \quad \mathsf{X}_5 \mapsto 3\mathsf{X}_5,$$

$$\mathsf{X}_7: \quad \mathsf{X}_1 \mapsto -\mathsf{X}_2, \quad \mathsf{X}_2 \mapsto \mathsf{X}_1, \quad \mathsf{X}_3 \mapsto 0, \quad \mathsf{X}_4 \mapsto -\mathsf{s}_2, \quad \mathsf{X}_5 \mapsto \mathsf{X}_4.$$

Next component $\mathfrak{t}_1$ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ is the set of all linear maps $\mathsf{d} = (\mathsf{d}_1, \mathsf{d}_2, \mathsf{d}_3)$ with $\mathsf{d}_i \in \mathsf{Lin}\big(\mathfrak{g}_{-i}, \mathfrak{h}_{1-i}\big)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ satisfying the fundamental equation introduced in (15). One can write the values of the map d as

$$\mathsf{d}_1(\mathsf{X}_1) = r_1\mathsf{X}_6 + r_2\mathsf{X}_7, \quad \mathsf{d}_1(\mathsf{I}_2) = r_3\mathsf{X}_6 + r_4\mathsf{X}_7, \quad \mathsf{d}_2(\mathsf{X}_3) = k_1\mathsf{X}_1 + k_2\mathsf{X}_2,$$
$$\mathsf{d}_3(\mathsf{X}_4) = m_1\mathsf{X}_3, \quad \mathsf{d}_3(\mathsf{X}_5) = m_2\mathsf{X}_3.$$

Applying the equality (15) for $\mathsf{y} = \mathsf{X}_1$ and $\mathsf{z} = \mathsf{X}_2$, we obtain

$$\mathsf{d}([\mathsf{X}_1, \mathsf{X}_2]) = [r_1\mathsf{X}_6 + r_2\mathsf{X}_7, \mathsf{X}_2] - [r_3\mathsf{X}_6 + r_4\mathsf{X}_7, \mathsf{X}_1],$$

which can be read as

$$k_1\mathsf{X}_1 + k_2\mathsf{X}_2 = r_1\mathsf{X}_2 + r_2\mathsf{X}_1 - r_3\mathsf{X}_1 + r_4\mathsf{X}_2.$$

This immediately implies that $k_1 = r_2 - r_3$ and $k_2 = r_1 + r_4$. Moreover, by a similar inspecting of the other values $\mathsf{d}\big([\mathsf{y}, \mathsf{z}]\big)$ for $\mathsf{y}, \mathsf{z} = \mathsf{X}_1, \mathsf{X}_2, \mathsf{X}_3, \mathsf{X}_4$ and X_5 we get the following relations between the coefficients (here we present only the useful equalities)

$$k_1 = r_2 - r_3, \ \ k_2 = r_1 + r_4, \ \ m_1 = k_2 + 2r_1, \ \ r_2 = 0, \ \ m_1 + 3r_1 = 0,$$

$$r_1 = 0, \ \ m_2 + r_2 = 0, \ \ m_2 = 2r_3 - k_1, \ \ r_3 = 0, \ \ m_1 - r_4 = 0, \ \ \ldots.$$

It follows from the above relations that $r_i = k_j = m_t = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ and $j, t = 1, 2$ which means that the subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}_1$ is trivial, *i.e.* $\mathfrak{t}_1 = 0$ and the process terminates. Consequently we can write

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{g}_-) = \mathfrak{g}_{-3} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_0.$$

This prolonged Lie algebra is seven-dimensional with the basis elements $\mathsf{X}_1, \mathsf{X}_2, \mathsf{X}_3, \mathsf{X}_4, \mathsf{X}_5, \mathsf{X}_6$ and X_7 and has the following table of commutators.

	X_5	X_4	X_3	X_2	X_1	X_6	X_7
X_5	0	0	0	0	0	$3\mathsf{X}_5$	$-\mathsf{X}_4$
X_4	$*$	0	0	0	0	$3\mathsf{X}_4$	X_5
X_3	$*$	$*$	0	$4\mathsf{X}_5$	$4\mathsf{X}_4$	$2\mathsf{X}_3$	0
X_2	$*$	$*$	$*$	0	$-4\mathsf{X}_3$	X_2	$-\mathsf{X}_1$
X_1	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	0	X_1	X_2
X_6	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	0	0
X_7	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	$*$	0

It is easy to check that the algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}_{-3} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0$$

computed in Example 4.2 is in fact isomorphic to the already computed algebra of Tanaka prolongation $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{g}_-)$. In other words, we have $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{g}_-) = \mathfrak{g}$. Similar phenomenon holds in the cases of the CR-manifolds of the types $(1, 1)$ and $(1, 2)$ (*cf.* [21, 10]).

One can see another example of computing the Tanaka prolongation in [21], Subsection 3.1 or [1], Subsection 4.2.

6. COMPUTING THE SOUGHT ALGEBRAS

Let us conclude this paper by gathering the results obtained so far to provide an algorithm for computing the sought Lie algebras of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms associated to the universal CR-manifolds. The strategy introduced in Subsection 4.1 enabled one to compute the Levi-Tanaka subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_-$ of the sought graded algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} := \underbrace{\mathfrak{g}_{-\rho} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}}_{\mathfrak{g}_-} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\varrho \tag{16}$$

and also to compute separately each component $\mathfrak{g}_t$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Furthermore, we resolved the trouble of finding (at least) an upper bound for the maximum homogeneity ϱ in this gradation by applying the Tanaka prolongation on the Levi-Tanaka subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_-$. As we know, the number of components of $\mathfrak{g}$ does not exceed that in the prolonged algebra. Hence accordingly, one may select the following strategy to compute the desired algebras associated to the universal CR-manifolds:

Step 1 Executing four steps $(\mathbf{s1}) - (\mathbf{s2}) - (\mathbf{s3}) - (\mathbf{ib})$ introduced in Subsection 4.1 with $t = -1$ and finding the associated Levi-Tanaka subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_-$ by computing its components $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ to $\mathfrak{g}_{-\rho}$, successively.

Step 2 Performing the Tanaka prolongation on the Levi-Tanaka subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_-$ and finding the maximum homogeneity $\varrho \in \mathbb{N}$ of the elements of $\mathfrak{g}$. One notices that in the cases $\rho = 2, 3, 4, 5$ then we are aware of $\varrho = 2, 0, 1, k$, respectively [4].

Step 3 Computing $\varrho+1$ components $\mathfrak{g}_0, \ldots, \mathfrak{g}_\varrho$ by executing again the three steps $(\mathbf{s1}) - (\mathbf{s2}) - (\mathbf{s3})$ of Subsection 4.1 with $t = 0, \ldots, \varrho$.

At the end of this paper, it should be noticed that by an slightly modification, it is possible to employ the above algorithm for computing the Lie algebras of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms associated to not only the universal CR-manifolds but also to each generic and real analytic CR-manifold which admits a graded Lie algebra in the sense of Tanaka.

Acknowledgment. The authors gratefully acknowledge the helpful discussions of Valerii Beloshapka, Mauro Nacinovich and Andrea Spiro during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Aghasi, J. Merker, M. Sabzevari, *Effective Cartan-Tanaka connections on $\mathcal{C}^6$-smooth strongly pseudoconvex hypersurfaces $M^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$*, arxiv:1104.1509v1.

[2] D. V. Alekseevsky, A. F. Spiro, *Prolongations of Tanaka structures and regular CR structures*, Selected Topics in Cauchy-Riemann Geometry, Dept. Math., Seconda Univ. Napoli, Caserta, (2001) 1–37.

[3] S. Baouendi, P. Ebenfelt, L. P. Rothschild, *Real Submanifolds in Comlex Space and their Mappings*. Princeton Math. Series, 1999, xviii+404 pp.

[4] V. K. Beloshapka, *Model-surface method: an infinite-dimensional version*, Proceedings Steklov Inst. Math., **279**, (2012), 14–24.

[5] V. K. Beloshapka, *Universal models for real submanifolds*, Mathematical Notes, **75**(4), (2004), 475–488.

[6] V. K. Beloshapka, *Real submanifolds in complex space: polynomial models, automorphisms, and classification problems*, Russian Math. Surveys, **57**(1), (2002), 1–41.

[7] V. K. Beloshapka, *Polynomial models of real manifolds*, Izvestiya: Math., **65**(4), (2001), 641–657.

[8] V. K. Beloshapka, *CR-Varieties of the type $(1,2)$ as varieties of super-high codimension*, Russian J. Mathematical Physics, **5**(2), (1997), 399–404.

[9] V. K. Beloshapka, *Invariants of CR-manifolds associated with the tangent quadric*, Math. Notes, **59**(1), (1996), 399–404.

[10] V. K. Beloshapka, V. Ezhov, G. Schmalz, *Canonical Cartan connection and holomorphic invariants on Engel CR manifolds*, Russian J. Mathematical Physics **14**(2), (2007), 121–133.

[11] V. K. Beloshapka, I. G. Kossovskiy, *Classification of homogeneous CR-manifolds in dimension 4*, J. Mathematical Analysis and Applications, **374**, (2011), 655–672.

[12] A. Boggess, *CR Manifolds and the Tangential Cauchy-Riemann Complex*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1991, xviii+364 pp.

[13] S. S. Chern, Y. Moser, *Real hypersurfaces in complex manifold*, Acta Math., **133**, (1975) 219–271.

[14] V. Ezhov, B. Mclaughlin, G. Schmalz, *From Cartan to Tanaka: getting real in the complex world*, Notices of AMS **58**(1), (2011), 20–27.

[15] G. Fels, W. Kaup, *Classification of Levi degenerate homogeneous CR-manifolds in dimension 5*, Acta Math., **201**, (2008), 1–82.

[16] R. V. Gammel', I. G. Kossovskii, *The envelope of holomorphy of a model third-degree surface and the rigidity phenomenon*, Proceedings Steklov Inst. Math., **253**, (2006), 30–45.

[17] H. Gaussier, J. Merker, *Nonalgebraizable real analytic tubes in $\mathbb{C}^n$*, Math. Z. **247**(2), (2004), 337–383.

[18] I. B. Mamai, *Model CR-manifolds with one-dimensional complex tangent*, Russian J. Mathematical Physics, **16**(1), (2009), 97–102.

[19] C. Medori, M. Nacinovich, *Levi-Tanaka algebras and homogeneous CR manifolds*, Compositio Mathematica, **109**, (1997) 195–250.

[20] J. Merker, M. Sabzevari, *Cartan equivalence problem for 5-dimensional CR-manifolds in $\mathbb{C}^4$*, In Progress.

[21] J. Merker, M. Sabzevari, *Explicit expression of Cartan's connections for Levi-nondegenerate 3-manifolds in complex surfaces, and identification of the Heisenberg sphere*, Cent. Eur. J. Math., **10**(5), (2012), 1801–1835.

[22] J. Merker, *Lie symmetries and CR geometry*, J. Mathematical Sciences, **154**(6), (2008), 817–922.

[23] H. Poincaré, *Les fonction analytiques de deux variables et la représentation conforme*, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo, **23**, (1907), 185–220.

[24] M. Sabzevari, A. Hashemi, B. M.-Alizadeh, J. Merker, *Applications of differential algebra for computing the Lie algebras of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms*, Submitted.

[25] E. N. Shananina, *Polynomial models of degree 5 and algebras of their automorphisms*, Math. Notes, **75**(5), (2004), 702–716.

[26] E. N. Shananina, *Models for CR-manifolds of type $(1,K)$ for $3 \leq K \leq 7$ and their automorphisms*, Mathematical Notes, **67**(3), (2000), 382–388.

[27] N. Tanaka, *On differential systems, graded Lie algebras and pseudo-groups*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **10**, (1970), 1–82.

[28] A. E. Tumanov, *Finite-dimensionality of the group of CR automorphisms of a standard CR manifold, and proper holomorphic mappings of Siegel domains*, Math. USSR Izvestiya, **32**(3), (1989), 655–662.

[29] K. Yamaguchi, *Differential Systems Associated with Simple Graded Lie Algebras*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **22**, (1993), 413–494.

[30] I. Zelenko, *On Tanaka's prolongation procedure for filtered structures of constant type*, SIGMA, **5**(094) (2009) 21 pages.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHAHREKORD UNIVERSITY, 115 SHAHREKORD, IRAN
E-mail address: `sabzevari@math.iut.ac.ir`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ISFAHAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, ISFAHAN, IRAN
E-mail address: `a.hashemi@cc.iut.ac.ir`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ISFAHAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, ISFAHAN, IRAN
E-mail address: `b.alizade@math.iut.ac.ir`

DÉPARTMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES D'ORSAY, BÂTIMENT 425, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS XI - ORSAY, F-91405 ORSAY CEDEX, FRANCE
E-mail address: `merker@dma.ens.fr`